

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/895,917	06/29/2001	Hans-Joachim Fuchs	70231	9518			
75	90 09/27/2002	•					
McGLEW AND TUTTLE, P.C.			EXAMINER				
SCARBOROUGH STATION			SHAFER, RICKY D				
SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827			SHAPEK,	MCKI D			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2872					
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002			

DATE MINICED: 03/2//2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4 VC	
	Application No		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/895	917	$\perp$ $f$	MCHS	ETAL	
Unice Action Summary	Examiner			Group Art l	Jnit	
	RO	SHA	FUR	2872	<u> </u>	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover s	sheet be	neath the c	orresponder	ce address-	
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE $\frac{1}{2}$	<u> ጉ</u> ሀ <i>ላፓ</i> ሃ	1_ MONTH(	S) FROM TH	E MAILING DA	ATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the state efault, expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the ap	cutory mini ONTHS from plication to	mum of thirty m the mailing become ABA	(30) days will be date of this con NDONED (35 U	considered time nmunication. J.S.C. § 133).	ly.
Status		,				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6/29/0	<u> </u>				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	•					
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	cept for formal matt 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 (	ers, <b>pros</b> D.G. 213.	ecution as	to the merit	s is closed in	
Disposition of Claims						
X Claim(s) 1 − 1 5			is/are	pending in th	e application.	
Of the above claim(s)	-		is/are	withdrawn fro	om consideration	on.
Of the above claim(s)					om consideration	on.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are	allowed.	om consideration	on.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are is/are	allowed. rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)			is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers			is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ app	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is □ app	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐	is □ applicated to by the Ex	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is □ applicated to by the Ex	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐	is □ applicated to by the Ex	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is □ app bjected to by the Ex	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ applicated to by the Exerciser.	proved [	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is apply app	proved (aminer	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is applicated to by the Exert.  ity under 35 U.S.C.  en received.  en received in Appli	proved [ karniner § 119 (a)- cation No	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is applicated to by the Exert.  This is applicated to by the Exert.  This is applicated to by the Exert.  This is applicated to be applicated to by the Exercisist to be applicated to be applica	proved (caminer § 119 (a)-cation Note the served se	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require disapprov  (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.		
Claim(s) Cl	is apply apply a piected to by the Extended to by the Extended to by the Extended to be a piece and a possible	oroved (caminer state) (a)-cation Note ived state)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.	ction or electio	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is apply apply a piected to by the Extended to by the Extended to by the Extended to be a piece and a possible	oroved (caminer state) (a)-cation Note ived state)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.	ction or electio	
Claim(s) Cl	is apply apply a piected to by the Extended to by the Extended to by the Extended to be a piece and a possible	oroved (caminer state) (a)-cation Note ived state)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.	ction or electio	
□ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received: □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Certified copies of the certified copies of the International *Certified copies not received: □ Certified copies of the certified *Certified copies not received: □ Certified copies not received: □ Certified *Certified copies not received: □ Certified *Certified copies not received: □ Certified *Certified *Cert	is applicated to by the Exert.  The received in Applicated to the Exert is a second to the Exert	proved [ carniner § 119 (a)- cation No eived	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require disapprov (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restri ement red.	ction or election	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies o	is applicated to by the Exert.  The received in Applicated to the Exert is a second to the Exert	oroved [ caminer  § 119 (a)- cation Noteived lule 17.2(	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requin disapprov  (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement red.	ction or election	<b>o</b> n
□ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) □ (d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the	is applicated to by the Exert.  The introduction is applicated to by the Exert.  The introduction is a positive in the introduction in the introduction is a positive in the introduction in the introduction is a positive in the introduction in the introduction is a positive in the introduction in the introduction is a positive in the introduction in the i	cation Noteived	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require disapprov  (d).	allowed. rejected. objected to. bject to restriement red. mary, PTO-4 mal Patent A	ction or election	O-15

Application/Control Number: 09/895,917

Art Unit: 2872

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A). The species depicted by Fig. 1;
- B). The species depicted by Fig. 2;
- C). The species depicted by Fig. 3; and
- D). The species depicted by Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/895,917

Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

**RDS** 

September 25, 2002

PIOCY D. SHAFE